

852

New Delhi
Date: 25.02.2021
26.02.2021
1

To,
Shri Amit Kumar,
DGM (VIG) & PIO (Vigilance matters),
SPMCIL Corporate office,
Janpath, New Delhi

Sub: Information sought under the RTI Act - 2005 - reg

Sir,

It is requested to provide me the following information under RTI Act - 2005 pertaining to scrutiny of Annual Property Returns (APRs):-

- a) A copy of existing norms, prevailing practice and periodicity of scrutiny of APRs for various levels of executives being followed in SPMCIL since its incorporation.
- b) Information pertaining to number of times scrutiny of APRs carried out in respect of the undersigned viz. Shri Ramakant Dixit, since start of such scrutiny in SPMCIL.
- c) An up to date copy of all note sheets with its approval till date where in all such observations has been raised during scrutiny against the undersigned Viz. Shri Ramakant Dixit including the latest one communicated vide no. CHO (HR)/Pers/1/37/2015/11191 dated 24.02.2021.
- d) Information whether such scrutiny pertaining to the undersigned viz. Shri Ramakant Dixit, were as per the existing norms, prevailing practice and periodicity of SPMCIL. If, not then basis of such increased/decreased scrutiny & the Authority competent for the same.
- e) Information whether such scrutiny pertaining to the undersigned viz. Shri Ramakant Dixit, were a part of routine examination or otherwise & the Authority competent for the same.
- f) Information on scrutiny period viz. how many years old APRs, are being scrutinized in SPMCIL and its relevant rules/guidelines thereof.
- g) An up to date copy of my (i.e. Shri Ramakant Dixit) APR folder (viz all APRs, intimations, permission(s) granted etc till date) since my joining service in SPMCIL, may also please be provided to me.

2810-73A 302306

DM
26.02.21

Ridest

8511

2. In this regard, it is submitted that the requisite fees of Rs 10/- under RTI Act – 2005 for seeking the above requisite information is enclosed here with.

3. Further, I am ready to pay for the photocopy/relevant charges for the above requisite information sought by me under RTI Act – 2005.

4. Furthermore, in case of non-availability of some/whole of the information sought by me under the RTI Act – 2005 with your good self then, it may please be forwarded to the concerned Competent Authority in SPMCIL under intimation to me.

Encl: as above.


Thanking you.

Yours Faithfully,


(RAMAKANT DIXIT)

Dated : 26.02.2021

Rs 10 - 73A 302306


26.02.21

भारत प्रतिभूति मुद्रण तथा मुद्रा निर्माण निगम लिमिटेड

Security Printing and Minting Corporation of India Limited

मिनीरत्न श्रेणी-I, सीपीएसई
(भारत सरकार के पूर्ण स्वामित्वाधीन)

Miniratna Category-I, CPSE
(Wholly owned by Government of India)



भा.प्र.मु.नि.नि.लि/सतर्कता/112/18(RTI)/Vol.03/ 11651

दिनांक: 22.03.2021

सेवा मे,
Shri Ramakant Dixit

विषय:- सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम, 2005 के अंतर्गत मांगी गई जानकारी ।

सन्दर्भ:- RTI of Shri Ramakant Dixit vide request dated 26.02.2021 .

महोदय,

उपरोक्त संदर्भित आर.टी.आई आवेदन के संदर्भ मे सतर्कता विभाग के अभिलेख अनुसार {2009 से आज तक (22.03.2021)} निम्नलिखित जानकारी प्रस्तुत है:

Information given:

S.No.	Query / Information Requested	Reply
a)	A copy of existing norms, prevailing practice and periodicity of scrutiny of APRs for various levels of executives being followed in SPMCIL since its incorporation.	Kindly find enclosed Annexure-A.
b)	Information pertaining to number of times scrutiny of APRs carried out in respect of the undersigned viz. Shri Ramakant Dixit, since start of such scrutiny in SPMCIL.	04 times
c)	An up to date copy of all note sheets with its approval till date where in all such observations has been raised during scrutiny against the undersigned Viz. Shri Ramakant Dixit including the latest one communicated vide no. CHO	Copy of file noting of vigilance cases / matters cannot be authorized to be disclosed as these are confidential and sensitive in nature. Hence, the information sought is denied on the ground that the same is exempted from

1
श्रीरामकान्त 22/03/2021

	(HR)/Pers/1/37/2015/11191 dated 24.02.2021.	disclosure as per Section 8(1)(g) of the RTI Act. For further detail and explanation kindly refer the following CIC Decision: (i) No.CIC/SPMCO/C/2019/600852 dated 24.08.2020. (ii) CIC/SB/A/2015/000649 dated 08.02.2017. (iii) CIC/AT/A/2010/000757 dated 12.11.2010.
d)	Information whether such scrutiny pertaining to the undersigned viz. Shri Ramakant Dixit, were as per the existing norms, prevailing practice and periodicity of SPMCIL. If, not then basis of such increased/decreased scrutiny & the Authority competent for the same.	The query is in the nature of seeking presumptive response from the PIO and the same do not construe 'Information' as defined U/s 2(f) of RTI Act, 2005.
e)	Information whether such scrutiny pertaining to the undersigned viz. Shri Ramakant Dixit, were a part of routine examination or otherwise & the Authority competent for the same.	The query is in the nature of seeking presumptive response from the PIO and the same do not construe 'Information' as defined U/s 2(f) of RTI Act, 2005.
f)	Information on scrutiny period viz. how many years old APRs, are being scrutinized in SPMCIL and its relevant rules/guidelines thereof.	Kindly find enclosed Annexure-A .
g)	An up to date copy of my (i.e Shri Ramakant Dixit) APR folder (viz all APRs, intimations, permission(s) granted etc till date) since my joining service in SPMCIL, may also please be provided to me.	Information will be provided by HR department only.

In case you are not satisfied with the above reply, you may file an appeal to the Appellate Authority in SPMCIL within 30 days from the date of receipt of this letter. The name of Appellate Authority is **Shri Sunil Tiwari, Dy. Chief Vigilance Officer, SPMCIL, 16th Floor, Jawahar Vyapar Bhawan, Janpath, New Delhi-110001.**

भवदीय,

शक्ति कुमार
22/03/2021
(अमित कुमार)

पीआईओ(सतर्कता)/उप-महाप्रबन्धक(सतर्कता)

प्रति : PIO(HR), CHO, SPMCIL

CHAPTER-II
PERSONNEL POLICIES
g) Vigilance Policies

5. Scrutiny of Annual Property Returns of Officers/Executives of PSUs by the Vigilance Branch.

The undersigned is directed to say that the Conferences of Chief Vigilance Officers held by the CBI and the Central Vigilance Commission during 1996 and 1997 recommended, inter-alia, that the scrutiny of property returns may be undertaken by the Chief Vigilance Officers. It was also suggested that the general practice of receiving and filing property returns and their safe custody in the PSU should continue with the Personnel Department and the Vigilance Branch may scrutinize random basis and on specific information about 20% of the property returns so that the scrutiny cycle gets completed in every five years.

2. The matter has been examined carefully by the CVC and DOPT and it has been decided that in view of the emphasis on probity in public life and need for contemporaneous reporting of assets by the official concerned, the vigilance set up in the PSUs would scrutinize, on a random basis and on specific information, about 20% Annual Property Returns of the regular permanent employees of their respective organizations so that the scrutiny cycle is completed in every five years. To carry out this exercise, the management of PSU should provide staff whenever required by the CVO by making internal adjustments. However, the general practice of receiving and filing property returns and their safe custody with Personnel Department of PSUs will continue. This arrangement should be put into effect immediately.

3. All Administrative Ministries/Departments are requested to bring the above decision to the notice of public sector undertakings under their administrative control for strict compliance.

(DPE OM No. 15(6)/98(GL-008)/GM dated the 1st September, 1998)

आरटीआई अधिनियम 2005 के
अंतर्गत दिए जाने वाले दस्तावेज/
रिकार्ड की सही प्रति
True Copy of the Document/
Record supplied under RTI Act.

शक्ति कुमार
22/03/2021